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| 1 | Date: ${ }^{\text {a }}$ ( Responsible person: |
| :---: | :---: |
| 2 | Complaining person/company/organisation: <br> Category: Client $\square$ State authority $\square$ Other certification body $\square$ Consumer $\square$ Other: |
| 3 | Complaint: |
| 4 | Complaint is related to: Inspection $\square$ Certification $\square$ Administrative procedures $\square$ Book keeping or Financial management $\square$ Others: |
| 5.1 | Comment by person in charge: Complaint is justified: Yes $\square$ Partly $\square$ No $\square$ |
| 5.2 | Comment: |
| 6.1 | In case that the Quality department considers the complaint as "justified" or "partly justified": Who is responsible for erroneous proceeding? |
| 6.2 | Or does the root cause alaysis indicate that not a person is responsible, but rather inadequate structures, procedures, or policies? Yes |
| 7 | Corrective actions which have been implemented or initiated (including dates and responsibles): |
| 8.1 | Persons who have been informed about the complaint (In case of complaints about NOP certified operators APEDA and the USDA NOP Program Manager has to be informed and about COS certified operators, only if complaint received from CAEQ \& the CFIA then they have to be informed, and in case of EU Regulation certified operator, EU commission need to me informed). |
| 8.2 | Persons who have been informed about corrective actions (In case of complaints about NOP certified operators APEDA and the USDA NOP Program Manager has to be informed and about COS certified operators, only if complaint received from CAEQ \& the CFIA then they have to be informed, and in case of EU Regulation certified operator, EU commission need to me informed). |
| 9 | See documents/annexes: |
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